NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

PETER J. SCHMITT, PRESIDING OFFICER

FULL LEGISLATURE

PETER J. SCHMITT, CHAIRMAN

1550 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York

February 27, 2012 1:14 p.m.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

A P P E A R A N C E S:

PETER J. SCHMITT Chair

DIANE YATAURO Minority Leader

KEVAN ABRAHAMS

ROBERT TROIANO

CARRIÉ SOLAGES

DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON

JOSEPH SCANNELL

FRANCIS X. BECKER

HOWARD KOPEL

VINCENT MUSCARELLA

RICHARD J. NICOLELLO

JUDI BOSWORTH

WAYNE WINK

NORMA GONSALVES

JOSEPH BELESI

DENNIS DUNNE, SR.

JUDITH JACOBS

ROSE MARIE WALKER

DAVID DENENBERG

WILLIAM MULLER
Clerk of the Legislature

LIST OF SPEAKERS

OBERT	RAPPO.			•		•	•	•	•	•		•			•		6
INDA M	ILLER.					•	•				•			•			9
EATHER	SMITH	Ι.				•	•	•	•			•	•				11
ICHAEL	MULHA	ALL				•	•	•	•			•	•				19
AMES T	HOMAS .					•											35
ARBARA	EPSTE	EIN				•					•					•	41
EVERLY	VISCO	ONTE	€.			•											54
ELEN M	ANAS .					•					•					•	59
DAM HA	BER.					•											62
COTT B	OCHNER	₹.				•	•	•				•					71
OANNE	BORDE	1.															75
LAUDIA	BORE	CKY				•					•						77
OE KOL	ODNEY.																89
ALIK A	RID.																98
ע ז א חשר יייי	<u> </u>	r NT (100

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

CLERK MULLER: Legislator Nicolello?

1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12 5	
2	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.	
3	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Bosworth?	
4	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Here.	
5	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Wink?	
6	LEGISLATOR WINK: Here.	
7	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Belesi?	
8	(No verbal response.)	
9	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Dunne?	
10	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Here.	
11	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Jacobs?	
12	(No verbal response.)	
13	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Walker?	
14	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.	
15	CLERK MULLER: Legislator DeRiggi-	
16	Whitton?	
17	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Here.	
18	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Denenberg?	
19	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Here.	
20	CLERK MULLER: Minority Leader Abrahams?	
21	(No verbal response.)	
22	CLERK MULLER: Presiding Officer	
23	Schmitt?	
24	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Here.	
25	CLERK MULLER: We have a quorum.	

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. We have a I should have announced first that, with 3 4 the calling of the roll, that obviously the 5 majority does not have a working majority today 6 because one of our members is under the weather 7 and hospitalized and is not here today. So we don't have ten votes; we only have nine votes. 8 9 And nine votes is not enough to do many of the 10 things that had to be done. So we are in the process of cutting out of the calendar many items 11 12 that will not be called today. And I will be 13 recessing this meeting. As soon as I'm able, as soon as the missing member is able, we will come 14 15 back and finish taking care of the business of 16 the day that's on the calendar. 17

Now we start with a half hour of public comment. The first speaker is Robert Rapp. I'm sorry. Robert Rappo. I'm sorry.

MR. RAPPO: Hi. Good afternoon. I just want to say my last name is Rappo - R-A-P-P-O, first name is Robert. I came here today thinking that there was going to be a vote for the closing of the precincts. I just wanted to say, first of all, I'm a disabled individual. I have very,

2 very big concerns for public safety. I'm very,

3 | very against that issue because of my

4 disabilities. I've also been a crime victim in

5 my life. I feel that community centers for the

6 public is not a fair way to the taxpayers.

One of the districts, there was a shooting recently. I saw you, Mr. Solages, on television. This is just something that's very, very -- we don't get a fair shake as disabled individuals. Public safety issues are surely not the way to put this on the Nassau County's back. This will be a serious, serious problem if these precincts do close. I know this is not up for a vote today.

Again, I just wanted to say, I can't say how being a victim of a crime has changed my life. I was very glad that because I was able to get the medical attention that I needed and they came so quickly and everything. So I ask those that are in favor of this to think of this very, very carefully.

Again, when you're a victim of a crime, think, God forbid that a female is raped or something like that. This is something that

should be taken very, very, very seriously.

I won't go into any statements. But the county executive of Nassau County should fess up. And people with disabilities, I just want you all to know, we're being discriminated against and I don't like it. I don't like it. I take it very personal.

Quality of life issues are very serious here, and this could -- these kinds of issues could escalate into crimes.

Again, when this does come up, I appreciate that each one of you will weigh that situation very, very, very seriously. There has to be other ways and other revenue for the county.

One last thing I would like to say, I

don't know if there's any representative -- most

of my dealing has been with the Fifth Precinct,

which is one of the precincts to be closed. I

have called for non-emergency issues; they've

been always very, very responsive. I commend and

applaud the executive officer of that precinct.

Please, not only to the Nassau County Police

Department, but be fair to the public, that's all

every square foot of Nassau County, there is --

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 and you're going to redeploy people from desk

3 | jobs. There will be more officers out on patrol.

4 | I can't quite accept that. I don't think that

5 would happen. I think that especially in today's

6 economy, there is going to be a tremendous

7 | increase in burglaries, which I understand since

8 | the beginning of the year has already occurred,

9 | 110 percent or something like that. Just as the

10 gentleman prior to my speaking discussed, Mr.

11 | Rappo, my family was a victim of a crime.

I grew up on the south shore. And I am scared still by the fact that my house was burglarized, they beat my dog, the family dog over the head, and the dog had to be put to sleep within a month. You have no idea. I know that you are charged as legislators to find ways to cut the deficit in our budget. However, by your proposing to cut the precincts in half, it's going to harm the residents of Nassau County, without a doubt. And you can say anything you want, but you will not convince me that there will not be an increase in crime because there most assuredly will be. And I'm very outraged

and this, water and transparency. Today we want

to talk about what's happening with our sewage

24

treatment plants. And we want to know what's the
Legislature knows about it. Because our group
has done some investigating, and we want to find
out what's happening about the lease of our

6 sewage treatment plants.

At this point, we're not in support of it. We can go into why. There's going to be other people talking behind me about our various points. But one of the main reasons that we are concerned with this is because we're afraid that it's going to affect our wallets.

We have found in research of other cities that have had a private company take over that their bills go from \$185 a year to \$185 a month. This is a concern. We're not sure if this is going to happen. But Legislators, I ask you, do you know about this issue? And if you do, how much do you know about it? Because our group has started to investigate this and we have the contract from Morgan Stanley, we have it highlighted, we have it sticky noted. So we would love it if you would look into this more with the county executive and also inquire with our group.

on this issue.

I would like to, I guess, summarize this.

We don't want to get kept in the dark about this.

And the reason that we're here today is we see that the Rules and Reg Committee had a little note for \$24,750 going toward Morgan Stanley to start with this deal. But why is it \$24,750?

Because it was \$25,000 they'd have to come before you and talk more on this issue, and we really want that to happen. In fact, we want hearings

We have found that the county executive is going to talk to our group. We are thankful for that. But we want them to talk to you and we want you to find out more of the details.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Ms. Smith, hello? I'm over here. Legislator Ford. I thank you very much for coming down. This is a very important topic in regard to the status of our sewage treatment plants.

As you may be aware, because I know some of the people that are sitting in the audience with you, that we do have a Western Bays Working

you know, the county executive, to his credit,

LEGISLATOR FORD: You know, too, also,

24

are closely watching.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has spent a lot of money on upgrading specifically the Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant. That plant, when we look at having the TMDL study done over in the Western Bays area, we see that there's been great impairment of the waters and there's a lot of issues with that whole area. From the various sewage treatment plants that are pumping runoff, there's so many things that are impairing the waterways. It's something that we

For me, for the time and effort that I've also put in trying to get the Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant upgraded, fixed, with the TMDL study and so forth, I don't want to, like, just hand it over to somebody else so that they can pollute the water even more. So, I'm on your side.

> MS. SMITH: Thank you.

Legislator Denenberg. CHAIRMAN SCHMITT:

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thank you for coming down, Heather. Thanks for all your great work that you've done.

Obviously, you know my position on this is that at least the devil we know, which is the

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

county and something that's operating that's at

3 | least open to public scrutiny, and particularly

4 | the dollars and cents that you know -- the sewer

5 | tax is what it is. It actually is something that

6 | -- sewage treatment is imperative to the

7 | environment, as you showed with your water. It's

8 | also imperative to our pocketbooks.

As someone who is in a private water district, I'm paying ten times or at least five times for water what people do in a public district. Before we engage on something that's worth \$500 million to a billion dollars, what Legislator Ford said is imperative; there ought to be not just public participation, but public hearings as to whether that's even a way we want And I don't mean a public hearing like to go. Veolia after the contract is presented to us. Ι mean a public hearing for public input. Mr. Schmitt just said that's all I'm going to get. If that's really true, then I would urge you to keep speaking out. What you deserve is a public hearing on this entire concept and whether that's the way to go, not just one hearing to come down when a contract for half a billion dollars comes

Full Legislature - 2-27-12 down, which would be ridiculous.

There is the 24,750 contract which never went to the Legislature, is a first contract with Morgan Stanley. A contract with Morgan Stanley did go to the Rules Committee with about two days' notice in December, where half a million dollars is going over the next year to Morgan Stanley to run a proposal, qualifications, and then negotiate with bidders, and then get five million for financing the deal.

MS. SMITH: I know. And the way it's gone about is actually very -- we, the people, are very concerned about what appears to be somewhat of a shady way of going about it. If you're going to do something and you're going to say you're going to fix the sewage treatment plants, then just be transparent about it and don't try to go through the Rules and Reg Committee even if it might seem more efficient. We deserve that.

Thank you.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You said that's all I was going to get, Peter. Peter, you said that was all the people were going to get.

aware of the fact that even when you were in the

majority, I had been involved with the Bay Park

24

of the disabled, not because I'm insensitive to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the needs of law enforcement, but rather just to reestablish the fact that the county is in a fiscal crisis. It's been in a fiscal crisis for more than a decade. And despite the fact that at one point the county enjoyed robust reserves of more than \$200 million, I believe the peak at \$282 million, it cannot go without saying that the county over the last several years has been mismanaged to the point that the current county executive inherits a \$310 million deficit. Noone is entering into these decisions because he or she wants to do these things. Government is at a limit now; we're at a tipping point. are going to go forward and not do these things, not right-size government, the opposite is what's going to hold. You're going to have to raise taxes, raise taxes by double digits.

Newsday had a report recently where both Nassau and Suffolk County, just to make up the pension liabilities for both counties, are facing \$145 million tab for 2012. So the \$310 million of the deficit, more than half of it is going to pay pension liabilities to the State of New York to make up a shortfall because employees were

promised a specific return. When the stock market and capital markets underperform, the taxpayer is asked to subsidize that. In a perfect world, we would like to continue to operate all of the sewage treatment plants, but we're broke. We're \$310 million in the red and we have to come up with money.

Now, it's not perfect. I will -- I cite the right side of the legislature here. Over the last five years the track record is unimpressive. I'm going to cite it. 2004, County Executive Suozzi allows \$150 million to go to six different backers through the Nassau Industrial Development Agency with the then-head David Mejias, who then eventually became legislature.

We go forward. In 2009, right before the election, Legislator Joseph Scannell, my legislator, with County Executive Suozzi, allocates \$50 million to the development of a new First Precinct in Baldwin. Fifty million. I was surprised that we didn't ask for a luxury hotel to come in and bid on it. Fifty million dollars and no one was outraged. It was only because the county executive got involved that it was right-

sized down to a far more less, a more appropriate number, less than \$10 million.

And then the hyperbole. The fact that the crime has gone up 110 percent; it has not. Those statistics are flawed. Last year, as many of you recall, we had several snowstorms, and so crime was depressed because of that. If you go back to the previous years, crime rates were flat. You're being told a story because it's politically compelling, it's attractive to half of the side of the legislature here that was a rubber stamp for Tom Suozzi and for profligate financial irresponsibility.

Legislature Solages and Legislator Whitton, you get a pass because you weren't here. But former Legislator and former Presiding Officer Diane Yatauro topped it when she bailed out the City of Glen Cove with \$90 million of Nassau County taxpayer money, \$6 million a year for 15 years. When Legislator Becker tried to question it, she turned off his microphone.

So let's add up the cost. There's \$150 million that Suozzi gave away in 2004, there is

1

3

5

7

8

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | \$90 million that Legislator Yatauro gave to the

City of Glen Cove -- that's almost \$290 million -

 $4 \parallel$ - and another 50 million that if Legislator

Scannell had his way I guess would have had the W

6 | Hotel in Baldwin for the First Precinct.

CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have expired.

9 MR. MULHALL: That's over \$300 million 10 right there. That's why you can't afford new

12 to not make changes for community policing

13 program. So the opposite is true.

How much would we have to raise taxes just to offset a \$310 million deficit? Probably close to 40 percent.

water treatment facilities, why you can't afford

My final point is Nassau County is broke. We are already under the watch of the Nassau Interim Finance Authority. We've been under their watch for ten years and yet we are still chronically broke. The taxpayers can't afford any more. We're in the fourth year of a housing correction that started at the beginning of the credit crisis. None of these options are what anyone wants to do. But I advocate to you that

Full Legislature - 2-27-12 you have to use commonsense.

above the age of 55 or 60, they're going towards a fixed income, and they cannot afford their current property taxes; the opposite is true. If we don't privatize, I'm going to tell you right now. Do you think the county does a good job managing our water treatment facilities today? They probably don't. My point is they're not going to get any better and it's going to cost money. Are you prepared to have your property taxes raised by 40 or 50 percent? I'm just telling you. That is the opposite.

I do know what I'm talking about. I do.

I've been coming here for several years and I

know the math. All I'm telling you --

VOICE: You don't know the math.

MR. MULHALL: No, I do know the math. Listen.

CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have expired.

MR. MULHALL: My final point. I am urging --

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Let's have order.

MR. MULHALL: I'm urging you. Nassau

County is under attack. The taxpayers can't

afford any more. My property taxes were \$12,500

a year when I bought my home in 2006; they're now

in excess of \$19,000. I just read a report in

the local herald that cited that as one of the

ways Nassau County's trying to make up the money

is by increasing the costs passed on to the

villages. We are at the tipping point. There is

nothing else left.

The 177 police cars that are out there, most, if not all, of them are equipped with laptop computers, with devices that scan license plates, that do plenty of work, and none of that's going to change. Not to mention, none of this is going to impact the City of Long Beach, where there is a police force, the City of Glen Cove, that has a dedicated police force, or any of the villages that have their own police force, including Rockville Centre. None of that is going to change.

What I'd ask you to do is continue to make the right decisions but in the context of taxpayers who are struggling.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you very much.

Legislator Kopel.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Mr. Mulhall. Mr. Mulhall. One real quick thing. Thank you very much.

I always appreciate it when you come here, actually. You make a very good and forceful presentation. I just want you to expand a little bit because it's been suggested to us that we ought to -- it's been suggested to us and there's been a lot of mailing going around and flyers, leaflets and e-mails to the effect that we really ought to compensation for these problems by raising taxes. What do you think the effect of that will be here?

MR. MULHALL: I think that we're in a position where it's a no win situation. We're not alone. Listen. Today it was announced in I think the Wall Street Journal, Stockton, California, has declared bankruptcy. Stockton was a very affluent part of California. But, faced with mounting pensions costs -- let's talk about economics first, Legislator Kopel, in response to your question.

1

25

Several years ago you could get a rate of 2 return of about seven or eight percent if you 3 4 managed your money well. Today, because we're in 5 a new economic paradigm is a reflection of a sick 6 economy that's not likely to get well in the 7 short term. Interest rates are anemically low; 8 thus, to generate a return now, today, two to 9 three percent is considered the gold standard in 10 terms of a return on money. As a result, if the state, if the county, if other municipalities are 11 12 locked into a contract that guarantees their 13 employees an eight percent investment return, 14 then we are going to continue to see what we 15 have, which is that five percent differential's 16 going to be made up by the taxpayer; the \$310 17 million that County Executive Mangano and the 18 Legislature are going to struggle to kind of 19 close is based on the fact that more than half of 20 that, almost half of it is in pension 21 liabilities, making up that shortfall. That's 22 the biggest issue here, that all these decisions 23 are all seen through the lens of the county's 24 finances.

Several years ago this county had, at the

2 | time, a \$282 million surplus, led through a

3 series of decisions that went unchallenged. They

4 went unchallenged. There were people on the

5 | minority side of the aisle who challenged it.

There were people on the majority side who agreed

7 | with it. Silence passes for acceptance.

I thought all along, you cannot allocate five million here, five million here and it doesn't have a cost; it does. All I'm trying to demonstrate is that if you follow those decisions over the last seven years, they have an impact. They have handcuffed this administration, they have handcuffed this Legislature, and forced you, compelled you to take these decisions. Because the opposite is true.

When the County raised taxes in 2000, I believe, I think it was a 20 percent tax hike that generated approximately \$120 million. So, today, to close a \$310 million deficit we're talking about raising the Nassau County portion of your taxes somewhere between 40 and maybe as high as 50 percent just to satisfy this debt. What I think the public needs to be aware of the fact is that the five year plan the county

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $\label{eq:legislator} \mbox{LEGISLATOR KOPEL:} \qquad \mbox{We misallocated our} \\ \mbox{money.}$

I will tell you that in my district, every one of our districts comprises several school districts. Everybody. It's very noticeable when you pass from one district to another, there's a striking difference in real estate values. The higher cost districts, real estate values are inevitably lower. It could be just across the street, there's a very striking difference. You go to some of these higher costs areas, you find stores are empty, offices are empty. And I believe there's a strong cause and effect.

MR. MULHALL: It's funny. And I will wrap up my time because I want to let other people speak.

Governor Cuomo is addressing this issue because he knows his entire re-election rests on this; the ability to correctly manage the State of New York right now. One in five tax dollars that the State of New York enjoys in Albany comes from Wall Street. Wall Street is seeing declining revenues. Why? Because of new financial regulatory burdens being put upon it by Dodd Frank. Revenue's been cut in half for most

of the investment banks, and as a result there's going to be declining revenue to Albany, and Albany already knows that. So what is Governor Cuomo doing? He's proposing Title VI pension reform. I don't understand how the governor, a man who shares your party, is actually leading the effort for financial reform and yet many of you here want to keep it as politics as usual. PAU for the PBA. Legislator Scannell is the darling of the PBA. It's got to be bigger than that. The PBA has to understand that the

And someone else was talking about homeowner values. Homeowner values are a reflection of your property taxes too. If your property taxes start to exceed a specific rate, no one is going to buy your home. And that's back to my point, Stockton, California. The home values in Stockton, California plummeted.

taxpayers are under duress, under duress.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Becker.

LEGISLATOR BECKER: Mr. Mulhall, you
mentioned one thing very briefly, something about

| the incorporated village. I didn't catch what

1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12
2	you said. It's passed on to the
3	MR. MULHALL: A report in The Local
4	Herald weeks ago about Mayor Murray
5	LEGISLATOR BECKER: Yes. The
6	headquarters' tax.
7	MR. MULHALL: Correct. And I believe
8	it's jumped up to up to six to \$8 million. I
9	haven't seen a response yet from Legislator
10	Scannell on that effort as my legislator. But
11	the village is struggling to address those costs.
12	LEGISLATOR BECKER: Mr. Mulhall, I just
13	want to add one thing to you. This is really for
14	the benefit of the new members of this
15	legislature. There's a history here. And I hope
16	they kept an open mind as to what you were
17	saying. Unfortunately, you wish there was no
18	blame here, but there is.
19	When County Executive Tom Suozzi was
20	elected, contrary to what people believe, I
21	embraced - I embraced his candidacy. I embraced
22	his
23	MR. MULHALL: His first two years were
24	fantastic.

LEGISLATOR BECKER: He came into this

Full Legislature - 2-27-12

county and I was looking forward to his

leadership. Unfortunately he really wasn't

interested in running the county; he wanted to

run for governor.

MR. MULHALL: Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR BECKER: Wait a minute. I have an important comment to make here.

One of the things you didn't mention, as far as the mismanagement, incompetence, was the tax certiorari proceedings and laws in this county. When he was first elected we were paying out \$100 million a year in tax certiorari proceedings. I went against my party and voted for reassessment of this county because this problem I thought had to be addressed, it had to be ended. There's no way, aside from some of the other issues that we face in this county, that we could financially be able to continue to bond \$100 million in refunds year after year after year. Over the next four years we spent \$65 million reassessing this county. Sixty-five million dollars. Once again, this is when Mr. Suozzi was the county executive and the democrats had the majority.

finding. Mine was just to set the tone for the fact that people need to understand the context of which these decisions are being made in. The decision's not which is the best decision. The decision's really coming down to which is the most affordable one, which is the one that we can afford to live with. It's not ideal in some respects, some of the decisions you're going to have to entertain, but they are the ones that are the most affordable. And that's what I'm asking people to consider here today, is that we have to live within our means. The economy is dictating this and we need to make decisions that reflect that new paradigm that we are living in.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

Jane Thomas, Port Washington, League of Women Voters.

MS. THOMAS: Good afternoon, members of the Legislature. My name is Jane Thomas. I am the vice president of the League of Women Voters of Nassau County. My colleague, Barbara Epstein, is with me today.

The League is a non-partisan

1

2 organization, which you know, which encourages

3 informed and active involvement in government and

4 influences public policy through education and

5 | advocacy. The League of Women Voters of Nassau

6 County seek fair redistricting in Nassau County,

7 | calls on the County Legislature to follow the

8 Nassau County Charter, Section 113, and to

9 | appoint a temporary advisory commission to

10 execute fair and inclusive redistricting. Many

11 other organizations in the County are joining the

12 League in this endeavor. There is a list at the

13 | back of the statement we've just handed in, and

14 | several are joining every day. One joined

15 | yesterday, the Uniondale Community Council.

We believe that an independent, non-

17 | partisan commission should be convened to

18 | accomplish this. The membership and process of

19 | this commission must be transparent,

20 geographically diverse and representative of the

21 | various populations of Nassau County.

22 Several of the points, the key point that

23 are important to this commission are as follows.

24 | One; they should hold public hearings prior to

25 committee members and subsequent to the same.

These meetings will enable the commission to

3 | receive input from the public before the

4 development of the plan as well as public

response to all proposals before presentation to

6 the legislature.

Two. Consider hearings be held in each of the towns and two cities, at different times of the day and evening in order to enable as many members of the citizenry to participate as possible.

Three. Extend these meetings geographically so that they are accessible to our diverse populations.

Four. Post notice for all meetings at least seven days in advance.

Five. Design voting districts so that they are contiguous, reasonably compact, and fairly representative of identifiable communities of interest. Wherever possible, a concerted effort should be made to keep cities, towns, villages, and unincorporated areas intact.

Six. Adhere to the Voting Rights Act and take into consideration Nassau County's minority populations.

Seven. Develop and maintain a website where hearing times, location and dates are clearly posted, all committee minutes are cited and recorded in a timely fashion, and all proposed district maps are published. Further, the website should include all data considered by the commission and also provide a mechanism for members of the public to comment on proposed maps.

We hope that the legislature will follow these recommendations. And for a good source of information on redistricting, we are making available to you today this -- each one of you will get a copy of a Citizen's Guide to Redistricting, prepared by the Brennan Center for Justice. So we will be giving that to you now.

CLERK MULLER: Three minutes have

expired.

MS. THOMAS: Sorry?

CLERK MULLER: Three minutes have expired.

MS. THOMAS: I'm finished.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Wrap it up. Go

25 ahead.

LEGISLATOR WINK: So that may have been why the League of Women Voters didn't feel the need to be here.

24

25

1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12 40
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: No, no, no. I'd be
3	happy to go over this as long as
4	LEGISLATOR WINK: I know what happened
5	in 2011; that I know.
6	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The County Charter
7	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So do the courts.
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The County Charter
9	has three sections 112, 113, and 111, 112 -
10	- 112, 113, and 114. 112 mandated, in our
11	opinion, which has been accepted by the Court of
12	Appeals that what was done was done in a legal
13	manner, just that the lines that were drawn and
14	adopted could not be used in last year's
15	election.
16	LEGISLATOR WINK: And if you knew that
17	going in, you wouldn't have done it in the first
18	place, Peter. You know
19	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Here's the pertinent
20	information
21	LEGISLATOR WINK: that as well as I do.
22	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: for the League of
23	Women Voters. I can assure you
24	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Let the people
25	know and Mr. Mulhall know that that cost us \$3

Full Legislature - 2-27-12 million.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: If they still stop their carping across the way.

I can assure you that the provisions of the County Charter will be followed to the letter. To the letter. There will be a commission activated. It will have ten members; five will be appointed by myself, five will be appointed by the minority leader, and the county executive will appoint a non-voting chairman. That commission will go off and do all of the things that you mentioned in your remarks.

How the commission acts -- you should appear, when the time comes, in front of that commission and again go over that list of how you would like to see the hearings and maps and so forth and so on, because that will be up to this commission to do, not to this legislature. So they will then go through that process and then at a certain time prescribed by the Charter they will present to the legislature their recommendations and then the legislature will decide either to accept their recommendations or to substitute their own.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. THOMAS: We're not asking here

know. But I just wanted to make it clear that

that mechanism is not there.

<u>, </u>	
1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12 44
2	anything that we aren't asking of New York State.
3	Of course, Andrew Cuomo is calling himself for an
4	independent commission. So we're asking
5	essentially for the same thing. We're advocating
6	for that.
7	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I was just about
8	to ask you. Obviously, the League of Women
9	Voters of the County supports an independent
10	redistricting process.
11	MS. EPSTEIN: On the state level, of
12	course. On every level of government, frankly.
13	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. On the
14	Nassau County level.
15	MS. EPSTEIN: As well as the state.
16	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: As well as on the
17	state. Thank you.
18	MS. THOMAS: I just have a question
19	about it.
20	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The commission
21	you'll have an independent commission, that's
22	what the five members and the five members.
23	That's an independent commission.
24	MS. THOMAS: My question is
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The State of New York

If I may, Peter.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS:

25

2 CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Oh, yes, of course.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Just so you know,

I heard the Presiding Officer mention that this
is an independent commission. In our estimation,
in our point of view, bipartisanship, in terms of
both sides picking members is not the equivalency
of redistricting being independent.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: It was ten years ago.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: The Cuomo

legislation, which I am sure which you have read,
requires a level of steps as well as the criteria
in selecting the members to the commission in

terms of their background and not having previous
-- not being previous elected officials, so on
and so forth. So we just don't want you to

leave, and I'm sure you're not, with the
misconception that bipartisan in selecting this
commission is the equivalency of independent
redistricting.

MS. THOMAS: I understand.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And I would suggest since you weren't around in 2003 that you get, my office would be happy to make it available to you, that you review the transcripts of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contact my office and we'll get involved to fix

that. We're going to have transparency.

make a bone of contention. I think the majority had an opportunity. Last year they took advantage of an opportunity to not do something transparent and try to ram a redistricting plan in a matter of three weeks through this legislature.

It's hysterical to me - why I'm laughing in my head. The fact remains that this legislature took a vote in a matter of three weeks, which the State Court of Appeals basically reversed that decision, and for them to say that that process was transparent is almost laughable. It really truly is.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: It was conducted according to the Charter and followed every rule of law.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Unfortunately, the highest court in the state did not agree with you.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Three million dollars later, though.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Let's concentrate on 2012 and what our responsibilities under the

2 | Charter are. You and I both share

responsibilities and legal mandates to perform

certain duties, and I'm going to perform them on

5 | my side; I assume you will comply with them.

MS. EPSTEIN: We're looking forward to following what the Charter says and to have the process that is amenable to the residents of Nassau County, that they have an opportunity to speak before the maps are drawn and after the maps are drawn so that they feel that they're having input on to what's going on.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I thank you very much for coming down and speaking about this because it is very, very important.

Rest assured, I like many of the recommendations, if not all of them, that you have made. And I do believe that we need to have public input on the redistricting. Even the last time when they redistricted, when we talked about some of the villages and some of the smaller areas that have been cut in half, I think that even for us, we have the small area of Island Park that has been separated. And hopefully

under the -- when we do redistricting that we
would put it back together, so to speak. And I
understand what the concern is. Because a lot of
times with the villages, they are such small
little hamlets that all of a sudden if they are
divided, instead of having one person represent

8 them they got to try to, like, work with two

9 different people. So I truly understand and

10 appreciate everything that you've said.

And I do believe that this is -regardless of what happened last year it was, you
know I didn't agree with it, but this is a new
year. Let's try to start the process and do it
right.

MS. EPSTEIN: Right. And also, we realize that the process affects all of us for the next ten years, so it's important to keep that in mind. And thank you very much for your time. We really appreciate it.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. We're going to -- that concludes more than half an hour of public comment. So we're going to go to the calendar and conduct the business of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

Ordinance Number 26; Item 26, Ordinance Number

24; Item 24, Ordinance Number 25; Item 26,

Ordinance Number -- I'm sorry -- Item 25,

2

4

5

7

8

11

13

14

15

16

19

21

27; Item 27, Ordinance Number 28; Item 28,

3 | Ordinance Number 29; Item 29, Ordinance Number

30; Item 30, Ordinance Number 31; Item 31,

Ordinance Number 32; Item 32, Ordinance Number

6 33; Item 33, Ordinance Number 34; Item 34,

Ordinance Number 35; Item 35, Ordinance Number

36; Item 37, Ordinance Number 38; Item 39,

9 | Ordinance Number 17 -- I'm sorry, Item 39 is a

10 | Resolution Number 17; Item 44, Resolution Number

22; Item 45, Resolution Number 23; Item 48,

12 Resolution Number 26; Item 47, Resolution Number

25; Item 48, Resolution Number 26; Item 49,

Resolution Number 27; Item 50, Resolution Number

28; Item 51, Resolution Number 29; Item 52,

Resolution Number 30; Item 53, Resolution Number

17 | 31; Item 54, Resolution Number 32; Item 55,

18 Resolution Number 33; Item 56, Resolution Number

34; Item 57, Resolution Number 35; Item 58,

20 Resolution Number 36; Item 60, Resolution Number

38; Item 61, Resolution Number 39; Item 62,

22 Resolution Number 40; Item 63, Resolution Number

23 | 41; Item 64, Resolution Number 42; Item 65,

24 | Resolution Number 43; Item 66, Resolution Number

25 44.

1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12 53
2	May I have a motion, please?
3	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.
4	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator
6	Gonsalves, seconded by Legislator Dunne.
7	Any public comment on any of those items?
8	(No verbal response.)
9	All those in favor please say aye.
10	(Aye.)
11	Any opposed?
12	(No verbal response.)
13	The items carry unanimously.
14	Just for the record, we are not calling
15	Item Number 1, Item Number 2, 3, 4, or 5, Item
16	Number 8, Item Numbers 21, 22, Item Number 36,
17	Item Number 40, 41, 42, 43, 46, 38 as well, Item
18	Number 59 and Item Number 67.
19	I am going to recess this meeting,
20	subject to the call of the Chair at which time we
21	will reassemble and take care of business. So,
22	this meeting will be in recess.
23	(Whereupon, the Full Legislature recessed
24	at 2:10 p.m.)
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We're going to return

Full Legislature - 2-27-12 to public comment.

The Chair recognizes Legislator Rose Walker.

take a moment and ask each and every one of you to keep a young Bethpage resident, a firefighter, Kevin O'Toole, 22 years old. He's a volunteer firefighter in Bethpage. But he also volunteers while he's away at college in Maryland. He was one of the firemen seriously hurt, actually he's in critical condition along with another firefighter, this weekend. If you would please keep Kevin O'Toole in your thoughts and prayers and his family, as well as firefighter Ethan Serel, who was 21 years old and who is also in critical condition. I just ask you to remember all of them. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

Beverly Visconte from Baldwin.

MS. VISCONTE: Good afternoon.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Good afternoon.

MS. VISCONTE: I'm Beverly Visconte.

I'm speaking on behalf of Sludge Stoppers Task
Force and We, The People, Save our Waters

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES

Full Legislature - 2-27-12 Coalition.

The gentleman speaking before brought up a very good question; what can we afford to live with? I'm here to speak up against the plan to the plan, the proposal, even the idea of privatizing our sewage treatment plants in Glen Cove, in Bay Park, and Cedar Creek. This is not something we can afford to live with.

The motive here is a one-time financial windfall that would help close the budget gap.

But then that's it. There's no more money after that. Only, from my point of view, negative ramifications. It's not like we won't be needing these facilities anymore. Of course, the corporation that gets a hold of it is in the business of making profit, big profits. And how are they going to do that? Cutting costs.

Cutting costs such as downsizing the workforce at the plants, cutting costs on materials and equipment. Not good. Not good for any of us living in Nassau County.

They can also raise profits by raising revenues, attracting more business. New York
City is looking for a place to leave their

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 sewage. Suffolk County is looking for a place.

3 | Then there's the upstate hydro fracking waste

4 water people who are looking for a place to

5 unload their waste water looking towards Long

6 | Island sewage treatment plants to do that. This

7 | is not good for us. It's not good for our

environment, our property values. Flat out, it

9 | is not a good idea.

My proposal is that we drop this idea all together. Let's get our plants back into good working order they way they used to be, A-plus plants. Use Glen Cove as an example. They have \$75 million in reserves already that can be used to begin some of the upgrades in Bay Park, in Cedar Creek. Maybe apply to the state, federal funding to help with this. Ask the voters. The voters on Long Island have been excellent at responding to environmental issues. Ask the voters if they will approve bond money. Ask the voters to pay more tax. Sometimes paying more tax is better than the pain of getting backdoor taxes, the pain of losing services, the pain of losing your quality of life on Long Island.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Excuse me one second.

1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12 57
2	MS. VISCONTE: Sure.
3	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Did you just say that
4	
5	MS. VISCONTE: Where am I looking?
6	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Up here. Right here.
7	MS. VISCONTE: Okay.
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Did you say that Glen
9	Cove is an example of a well run plant?
10	MS. VISCONTE: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: You know Glen Cove is
12	run privately.
13	MS. VISCONTE: No, no. It's managed
14	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: No. It's not.
15	That's just not true.
16	MS. VISCONTE: but it's not owned by
17	Severn Trent.
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: No. It's owned by
19	Nassau County.
20	MS. VISCONTE: Yes.
21	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And it's county
22	employees that work there, Peter.
23	MS. VISCONTE: Perfect. Yes.
24	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: How come every time
25	there's a conversation looking out there I hear

involved in this. The sewage treatment plants

25

are our property. We're going to be the ones that are paying for this after you unload it on to a private company. A citizen's advisory committee could also act as a watchdog in the operation of the sewage treatment plant so that they won't be allowed to depreciate again.

We, The People, want to be able to protect ourselves as taxpayers, to protect our property values, and to protect our waterways, bays, and beaches that are so invaluable to us as Long Islanders. And selling off the sewage treatment plants is not the answer.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

Helene Manas of Merrick.

MS. MANAS: Good afternoon. My name is Helene Manas and I'm here as a Merrick resident. I just have to say it's freezing in here. Is it always this cold?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: It certainly is.

MS. MANAS: Oh my God.

I also wanted to talk about the sale or lease of the sewage plants.

I know that there was a contract made

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

sense to me.

with Morgan Stanley, and they're supposed to be paid \$500,000 by the county to find a private corporation to buy or lease our sewage treatment plants. Then they get a transaction fee of not less than \$5 million if they make a deal. Morgan Stanley makes \$5 million whether it is a good or bad deal for the county.

So, first I want to know if the county is so in debt and we have no money that we're laying off police officers, closing precincts, and trying to sell off our sewage plants, why do you have \$5 million to pay Morgan Stanley to look for a privatization company? It doesn't make any

Then, from what I understand, now the Nassau residents pay approximately \$185 a year in sewage taxes. Municipalities whose STPs are privately owned are paying as much as \$185 per month. I don't want to pay a backdoor tax of \$185 a month to a private company. If I'm going to have to pay the money I would rather it be upfront and I'll pay my taxes and know that I own the sewage companies and I can have questions answered. Because once it's a private company,

1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12 61
2	they don't answer to me, but the county does.
3	I think you really need I appreciate
4	that Legislator Ford said that she's going to
5	look into this before you make any decisions, and
6	I really hope you are sincere about that. We
7	
	need your vote, if it ever comes to it, in order
8	for it to be fair.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.
11	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Wait. Peter.
12	Peter.
13	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yeah.
14	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Helene, thanks
15	for coming down. You have private water or
16	public?
17	MS. MANAS: Yes. I do. Aqua.
18	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: With Aqua, in
19	private water, you don't pay a water tax.
20	Nothing that you pay is tax deductible, correct?
21	MS. MANAS: Yes. Correct.
22	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You're paying
23	about \$1,000 a year for water?
24	MS. MANAS: Yes.
25	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Those of us who

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

suffer paying \$1,000 a year for water, when other people are paying about 200 a year because they have a public entity, see that if you're trying not to burden taxpayers with more bills, one of the worst ways to go is a monopoly over a public necessity.

> Yes. I agree with you. MS. MANAS:

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Thanks.

MS. MANAS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Adam Haber. Adam the slip you filled out says 35 Crab Apple Drive but there's no community listed.

MR. HABER: It's in East Hills. everybody. How are you doing?

I've been coming to these meetings since last June. Listening to the conversations that you've been having on many important topics, such as budget deficits, the Coliseum referendum, privatizing sewage facilities, redistricting, should there be a county corrections review board, and transgender rights, a host of issues, many important topics.

What I've noticed -- and I read avidly, all the blogs, all the newspapers, everything.

There's been little polling on public sentiment on many of these topics. It seems that much of the time these decisions happen in a vacuum without the idea of a chance of success. For example, the August referendum on the Nassau Coliseum project which failed by a large percentage. In response to this, I created a website called Nassausuggestionbox.com. This is a nonpartisan website. There will be no ads for the first several months. There will be no perception of bias.

The purpose of this website is to help the community, which is Nassau. It's to educate people about the issues facing Nassau County, to submit ideas to improve county government, to vote and comment on ideas that people like and dislike, to learn about the candidates that are running, to participate in weekly straw polls on current issues, and to easily learn how to contact your local representatives. Our goal in the development of this public forum is so that there could be a place where citizens can learn about important policy issues in Nassau County and let their ideas and concepts be known.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I also have an issue of the week -- it will be an issue of two weeks because I started a soft launch of the website today and I'm doing a hard launch next Wednesday, which will include half page ads in all of the Anton, Richner, and LM Publications, a Newsday e-mail blast. I'll be sponsoring News 12, highway sign. I'm really spending a lot of money to get the word out to try and get more participation in the community.

The first issue of the week, which should be interesting, is about public safety and the police precinct consolidation. It read as, Nassau County wants to consolidate eight police precincts to four in order to save money. County Executive Mangano said there will not be a decrease of cars in the street and Nassau will save approximately \$20 million per year. Should Nassau County go ahead with the consolidation And my hope two weeks from now is you plan? will know how the public feels about it. I tried very hard with the wording to make it nonpartisan. I took a graduate class in polling to make sure that I was not doing Democrat or Republican. This could be a site where the

community can come together and comment. It's not a site where people are going to be sitting home and complaining. I reserve the right to delete comments that are filled with vitriol and are not productive. I think we need 1.3 people commenting on how we should help the county and their input as opposed to just 19; it will help you guys out.

In closing, if there's any village, town or city here or any nonprofit organization that would like the code that I created for this website, I'd be happy to give it to them free of charge so they could create their own communities and get their voices heard.

Thanks so much.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

LEGISLATOR WINK: Mr. Schmitt, if I

could.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Wink.

LEGISLATOR WINK: Adam, I just want to thank you for coming down. I think it's a very innovative idea you have and I hope it takes off because, quite frankly, we all know that there are certain avenues right now that people tend to

Full Legislature - 2-27-12 take, usually unanimously and always vitriolically, it seems. I hope that yours will be a refreshing alternative to what we generally hear anonymously in terms of content and context, for that matter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I thank you very much for this idea.

Thank you. I spent a lot of MR. HABER: time and energy. I have about 15 people that I know through the different businesses that are involved with the website design. The layout is clean, easy to use, informative. There are many different things that have to do with government on there not just the things that I mentioned. There's actually a poll for the Republican candidates for president right now. It's going to be a very cheap way for people to really see and get the pulse on any issues.

I also created links, whether you like this or not Wayne, for each individual legislator so people can give you suggestions. Just, for example, not to pick on you. I posted one yesterday about some bus stops on Glen Cove Road that I feel need to be put in. These are community issues. They could range from very

2 | minute community issues to very broad issues.

3 There are over 20 idea topics that people can

4 | pick from. You can see what are the most popular

5 | ideas, which win by the large margins, recent

6 | ideas. It's a fun site. It's easy to use.

7 | Again, I'm willing to give it to any towns,

cities, village. This is for the benefit of Long

9 | Island.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I love where I live. I think this is an easy way to make things a little bit better.

Communication is the key to success in anything.

I think this would be a great way for people to communicate and get their ideas across.

I will now allow people to just consistently sit home in their pajamas and just create things on the website that are not for the benefit of the community.

I'm about to create a part of the website where you can click on the person's name and see if they have a history of just ridiculous comments so you can discount anything that they say. This is really for the benefit of the community, and I'm hoping it works.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We ought to try that

2 here.

LEGISLATOR WINK: Anything that increases the ability of real people.

MR. HABER: Pardon me?

LEGISLATOR WINK: Anything that increases the ability of real people to get their opinions to their elected officials I think is a great thing.

MR. HABER: Two weeks from today -- I know you have the police precinct meeting postponed. I'm not saying I'm for it or I'm against it. But what I am saying is hopefully you will get the input from -- I'm hoping thousands of people, if not hundreds, in comments that show why they support or -- look. We understand you have to save money, there's no questions about it. But is the community -- do they have the stomach for this or not? You'll know how they feel. I assume that will affect how they feel on a bunch of other topics that will be put up there.

If anybody wants to e-mail me up here about a topic they'd like to see put up there anonymously, not anonymously, I'd be happy to

everybody.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Mr. Haber. Mr.

Haber, I have a question for you. Adam.

If the League of Women Voters seeks to join or look out for other organizations on the issue of redistricting, how can they use your website?

MR. HABER: Well, there's 21 idea topics. They could post a topic under idea topics that pertains to what they're talking about. There is one called other, so if it's not in one of the topics. Or, if they'd like I could have a question of the week posted. If there's a lot of input on the website, if thousands of people come on I'll make it more of a question of bi-weekly. It's very hard to have a question daily that's pertinent. You don't want to just make up questions that are important. But if the League of Women Voters have a question that they feel is worthwhile, I'll be happy to post it. The e-mail address is on there in the info tab. You can contact me and I'll return your e-mail within a day or two.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you.

MR. HABER: Thank you.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Scott Bochner of Long Beach.

MR. BOCHNER: Good afternoon,
everybody. Scott Bochner from Long Beach. I'm
also here to represent the Sludge Stoppers Task
Force and We, The People to stop the sale or
lease of the sewer treatment plant. What's
really nice is there's been a lot of comments and
issues on here, so I don't really have to go into
so much about it.

What's nice about this particular forum is that we're able to speak about it. We're able to talk to you. There's been a feedback. When there's a private company there -- I have a private company. If somebody knocked on my door and wanted to give me a lot of input, I could choose to say I really don't want to hear about it or not.

I don't know if everybody realizes that this particular topic is probably one of the biggest votes or something that's going to come down in the last 50 years, because I feel that it involves every single person in Nassau County. Everybody does flush. Everybody does use the

bathroom. So this is going to affect everybody.

The person who was up here -- I wish he didn't leave-- that was talking about yes, the county has to make some tough decisions. But this particular decision, if it's a private company, they have to make money. So if it's not going to be a tax, it's going to be a bill. And he doesn't realize that that's what's going to happen.

The Morgan Stanley information that came out from that other woman was probably -- I don't remember her name -- Helene, is true that Morgan Stanley is brokering this deal and it is costing the county a lot of money to do this.

What's unfortunate is that, like that gentleman with the website said, it's stuck in a vacuum. The people really don't know what's going on, and we need to get this information out to the public. It's unfair that such an historic deal or such an event can go on behind closed doors and the people who are going to be suffering for year don't have any say or input in this particular deal, and it's unfortunate that that's happening. The transparency, the less

Full Legislature - 2-27-12 control that we have going on in the county is really tough.

Like Legislator Ford did say, yes, we've been involved in the Western Bays and there are problems that are going on with the plants, itself, right now. But what happened when it needed to be fixed, it did get fixed. That was really, really good, what she said. If it is a private company, will it get fixed? That's -- we just don't know.

What happens is we all know that the DEC regulates what comes out of those pipes. They are underfunded, undermanned. They couldn't even stop a leak that went on for months, and months, and months. Who is going to be watching what comes out of these pipes?

It's important to know that the quality of life on Long Island is really centered around the bays, the ocean, the water, and the fishing, and the swimming, and what happens to the communities. What Beverly had said about the fracking, yes, the SGIS has included the three sewer treatment plants in its paperwork filed so they can to fracking. To say that fracking water

happen for years to come. It's very important that we have an input on that.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

Joanne Borden.

MS. BORDEN: It's shameful of this body to perpetuate ancient believes in denying transgender civil rights. Those ancient beliefs originated in the Hebrew Bible and carried over to other religions that was based on 2,000-plus year old information. We were stoned, burned, and tortured to death. Now you continue the same ancient beliefs and continue torturing us by denying us equality. Transgender people, wearing the clothes of the other sex, was based on ancient beliefs, like the earth is flat.

It boils down to what is our sex? Is it what you see or is it what is inside us? We are transgendered because we have a sex inside us different from the one a doctor saw when we were born. That's been proven by medical scientists over and over during the past 100 years. Given time I could cite case after case, after case that proves we have a different sex inside us and

not something we learn after we are born.

If a person can have two sexes, a physical one and another one in their brain inside, which sex determines the proper clothes?

If we turn to the Bible again we will judge man by his sex inside him.

I once quoted Samuel as saying that man looketh on the surface; the Lord looketh on the heart. John said, Jesus commands you to look beneath the service so you will judge man correctly.

The ancient belief that a person's true sex is what is seen on the surface coincides with the belief that the world is flat. We now know the earth is not flat as you see it, but it is round; and a person's sex is not as you see it, but it is what's inside, what is beneath the surface, what is in his heart.

Everyone surrounding Nassau, 70 percent of New York State, over 40 percent of the United States provides transgender equal rights. It's time for Nassau to catch up and give us equal rights also. Replace ancient beliefs with modern knowledge. Stop the stoning and burning our lack

1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12 77
2	of a law encourages. Stop torturing us and give
3	us equal rights. Give us equality.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.
6	Claudia Borecky. Your slip indicates a
7	post office box not an address.
8	MS. BORECKY: I'll give my address. I
9	gave it because I'm representing my civic. I
10	gave my civic address, but I will give my home
11	address, do you want me to give it now?
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes, please.
13	MS. BORECKY: 28 Washington Drive,
14	Merrick.
15	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you. Go ahead.
16	MS. BORECKY: Okay. I came here to
17	speak about the privatization of the sewer
18	treatment plants. A lot of my friends here have
19	already covered a lot of the issues I wanted to
20	address. But on the agenda today I noticed that
21	there's the contract with Morgan Stanley for
22	\$24,750. Is that contract the same one that was
23	entered into in August of last year that you're
24	just approving now? Is that what that is?
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Where on the agenda

hearing about this now.

MS. BORECKY: And Morgan Stanley was,

yes, it was picked, it was chosen. Actually, it

was chosen in February 2010, one month after

County Executive came into office and we're just

Then you went into a contract with Morgan Stanley for \$100,000 a quarter, starting October 1, 2011 and covering a five quarter period of time, and that wasn't approved by the Rules Committee until December, after it had already done the work of \$100,000 -- they completed the work by that point. How can you approve something after you have already done the work? Isn't that what NIFA is saying that they did with the lawyer contracts? I just want to let you know, I sent a letter to NIFA. I'm asking the state comptroller's office to also investigate the practice of you hiring places and approving them six months later.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I have been a consistent and constant complainer about that, both in the years that we were in the Minority and in the years we were in the Majority. It is almost institutional in this place.

MS. BORECKY: But it is against the County Charter to do it that way, right? Correct?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The former county executive did it routinely. The current county executive seems to be going down that path. NIFA has warned that they may not approve those contracts if work has started before the contract is approved.

MS. BORECKY: I just want to let you know that I am sending and I am asking NIFA to investigate this contract as well.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: But you see I don't think that's a fair action. Because I could do that here on the Rules Committee. I could say you already did the work? Sorry, we're not going to approve the contract.

MS. BORECKY: That's another question. How come it never got to -- how come this is a personal services contract of \$100,000?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That's not -- you know, when they hire somebody in a contract and tell them to do a job, a lawyer, a plumber, I don't care what it is, and they do the job, it's

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Now you're screwing

25

-										
1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12									
2	the person that did the work in good faith.									
3	MS. BORECKY: Who said they could do									
4	that work? I certainly as a taxpayer did not say									
5										
6	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I guess the county									
7	executive did.									
8	MS. BORECKY: it. Why is that a personal									
9	services agreement when Morgan Stanley was hired									
10	under an RFP? How can that be called a personal									
11	you call it at a whim, a personal services									
12	contract when it should really be a public									
13	contract. They were retained under responses to									
14	an RFP.									
15	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I don't follow you.									
16	MS. BORECKY: So it should go through									
17	NIFA.									
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All contracts over a									
19	certain amount go to NIFA.									
20	MS. BORECKY: This one did not go through									
21	NIFA though.									
22	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Then it's under the									
23	amount.									
24	MS. BORECKY: Excuse me?									
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Then it's under the									

1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12								
2	amount.								
3	MS. BORECKY: \$100,000 is under the								
4	amount?								
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I don't know what								
6	is the amount?								
7	MS. BOREKCY: It's actually \$500,000.								
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Anything over \$50,000								
9	goes.								
10	MS. BORECKY: Okay. This is \$500,000								
11	and it's not going through NIFA.								
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Then it went it NIFA.								
13	MS. BORECKY: It's done because it's								
14	being done in the dark. We, the people, are								
15	being kept out of this, the biggest decision that								
16	this county has made in I don't know how many								
17	years								
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Since the last big								
19	decision we made.								
20	MS. BORECKY: Excuse me?								
21	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Since the last big								
22	decision we made, the buses.								
23	MS. BORECKY: Well, we don't know what's								
24	going to happen with this privatization.								
25	Research has shown that it has worked against us.								

We feel, as the people said before, we should have a citizen advisory and an oversight committee to make sure that our plans are working up to date and they can be returned to blue ribbon quality with public -- under public scrutiny.

I also want to point out, because there seems to be some mistake about this. It's under the idea that County Executive Mangano has been putting money forward to fix the plaids when the money that he's using is money that was approved under the Democratic Majority. That is true.

CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have expired.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Don't even start with your democratic stuff. What are you running for this year?

MS. BORECKY: The money -- the work that was done already was approved in 2009 under the Suozzi Administration.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The 2010 capital plan, absolutely right. Which was passed by the Democratic Majority in 2009. You guys walked out.

MS. BORECKY: But we, the people, refuse to play party politics. We want to be part of this conversation, unless you're where Denise Ford went. I would like to call her office this week and set up public hearings with the legislature.

Something. You know, it's very nice how you weave in and out of being a "civic leader" and a democrat candidate at the next turn of the calendar. The point of the matter is there are people who are going to engage in this conversation; you're looking at them. And we were elected by the public, whether you like it or not, to do just that, and we will. And if you don't like the way we do it, then you run against us, you get elected, and then you can do it your way.

MS. BORECKY: We, the people, will not play party politics. This matter is way too important to the people of Nassau County. Laugh all you want.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Claudia, Claudia, one second. Hold on one second.

1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12
2	This is truly amazing. Mr. Mulholland,
3	which we all know was a previous candidate
4	Mulhall, which we all know was a previous
5	candidate, we were able to bite our tongue.
6	Here, Ms. Borecky, who may wear two hats, is
7	trying to bring up a very important issue and you
8	guys are laughing, you're discounting her.
9	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Because
10	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I mean, the lack
11	of
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Because, Kevan, as
13	you well know, Mulhall
14	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I didn't cut you
15	off, Peter.
16	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mulhall ran one time
17	20 years ago.
18	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I didn't cut you
19	off, Peter.
20	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: She's running every
21	year.
22	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. Alright.
23	MS. BORECKY: What are you talking
24	about?
25	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: She ran one time

mentioned that she'd so legislative hearings, and

I'm going to speak to Denise Ford; she

24

25

Full Legislature - 2-27-12
we're going to make sure that they're done this

month.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Nobody said anything about hearings this month.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Claudia, just to straighten out. You ran once. Mr. Mulhall ran once too. He was allowed to go for 30 minutes; there apparently was no problem.

But on the issue, there was an RFP sent Morgan Stanley was hired pursuant to an out. Your point that that is beyond a personal services contract and awarding a contract based on a big typically goes to the Full Leg not just to a Rules Committee. The first contract being less than 25,000 no one even saw until it was attached at the end of an agenda today. The vote in December was to backdate a contract with Morgan Stanley to October 1 to give them 100,000 for the first quarter and 500,000 over the course of a year to come up with qualifications, review the qualifications, come up with a bid or negotiate a contract for the privatization or long term leasing or sale of a sewage treatment

plant. If we didn't want to go down that path the Rules Committee could have voted no right then and there.

No one was holding a gun saying Morgan Stanley is going to sue us for \$100,000 unless we allow them to come up with a contract to sell our sewage treatment plants. At that point we committed taxpayer money, a half a million dollars, toward the privatization issue. To say that we had no choice is ridiculous. The whole policy, regardless of who the county executive is, are violating the Charter and back dating contracts, should always end, period.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: One more speaker. Joe Valoni from Lynbrook.

MR. KOLODNEY: I don't want to beat the sludge -- I mean the sewer treatment plant thing to death, but there are one or two things I wanted to ask you.

I thought I heard a while back that there had been a contract let to study the Bay Park plant and find out what needed to be done there.

Was that contract let and is there a study currently under -- I heard something about a \$3

2 | million contract to study to see what needed to 3 | be done to bring the Bay Park plant up to snuff.

Can anybody answer that question?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I can give you a partial answer, I think.

There was a contract issued to some consulting engineer; it was either Dvirka & Bartelucci or Cameron Engineering, I don't remember which one.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: For Bay Park, it's Dvirka & Bartelucci.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Dvirka & Bartelucci.

They went in to find out what was necessary to keep that plant, A. running, because it was on the verge of collapse and, B. what had to be done to upgrade it. I believe that they issued a report; I never saw it. I think they issued a report to the administration, who sent us contracts for things recommended in that report that had to be done. We would get a contract to buy the equipment or whatever was going to be installed in the plant, and the work is going on as we speak and has been going on for quite a while. I don't know exactly where they are on

from the second plant to the first plant, where it will be processed. Then it will be shipped to Bay Park, where it will be processed again. But the stuff going into Bay Park will be -- I think the figure thrown around was 90 percent treated. So they will be pumping relatively clean affluent into Bay Park rather than raw sewage.

MR. KOLODNEY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And that will complete that work.

MR. KOLODNEY: The only other thing I wanted to say is that if this thing does go to contract, are you people going to have -- are you going to review the contract to make sure that the people of Nassau County are protected along the lines of if any work needs to be done -- that the contractor who wins the bid on the plants, if that goes through, is going to be required to do a study of what work needs to be done, and that study will be done in a time period, a reasonable time period and if they don't get that study done there will be penalties, number one. Number two. If the plants -- number two, that there will be some public means of doing random checks and the

plants will have to be open to some public entity that will go in from time to time and check to see what they're up to. The third item will be, that I think should be in the contract before you sign on to it, is the -- I'm trying to remember what I was going to say. I'm having a senior

moment. I don't remember the third one.

I guess the point I'm trying to make is that whatever you do -- oh, yeah. I had a talk with that fellow who was up here for a long time and he said we can always sue -- I said to him, how are we going to sue the potential bidder that wins? How are we going to sue that entity if it isn't on the contract that they have to do something or other? He said we'll sue the legislature or something to that effect. We'll sue you guys. So, anyway, that's basically what I'm saying, is that the public should be --

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: You're losing me a little bit along the way here. The process is not quite the way you described it. The process is that the Department of Public Works, with the Legislature's approval, the rules committee approval, enters into a contract -- the county

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

executive actually enters into a contract with a consulting engineer; Dvirka & Bartelucci in the case of Bay Park. The consulting engineer then goes in and pursuant to the terms of his contract issues a report and/or recommendations as to what is necessary in the plant to bring it up to The administration then turns around standard. and puts contracts out to bid for various machinery or parts, unless they're sole source in which case they just buy them, and those contracts are then sent to the rules committee for approval. We get copies of the contract and we have the administration people here, usually the commissioner of public works, to answer questions that anybody might have on either side. We go over those contracts. We scrutinize them pretty carefully. But, speaking for myself, I'm not an engineer so I can only go so far. when the contract is let, they go out and perform the work.

The consulting engineer is supposed to be reporting back to DPW on the progress of the work, and DPW obviously is free to go into the plants and does go into the plants at any time

during the process to check on how things are going. And during that whole time, because the plant is operating, the DEC, Department of Environmental Conservation, is monitoring what's pumped out into the channel or the ocean, depending on which plant it is. And then when the job is finished, the job will be finished and they'll go away and we'll run the plant or sell it. I don't know. I'm hearing that we're going to --

MR. KOLODNEY: Anyway. What I was getting at was the work that needs to be done on these plants, there should be something in the contract. Once a study is done and it is ascertained what needs to be done to bring all the plants up to snuff, there should be a timeframe that this company has that they have to get the work done within that period of time and there will be penalties --

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I believe there is.

MR. KOLODNEY: Okay. The only reason I brought that up is that this plant in Indianapolis, this private company ran it for ten years and apparently Indianapolis is not happy

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

with the way it was being run and they didn't make many improvements. If there's nothing in the contract that forces them, via penalties, that forces them to get the work done. I think if there's going to be a contract, it should be carefully constructed so that everybody is protected, including yourselves. If this thing goes to ground and there's a big stink over the rate increases, it's going to come back to haunt you guys because it's going to be done under the Republican administration, and if it was Democrats who were in the Majority, I would be saying the same thing to you.

Thank you very much for letting me speak.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Peter. Peter.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Denenberg.

19 LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Sir. The

20 | contracts that were approved by the rules

21 committee recently, you should know a lot's been

22 | talked about, improvements and what we need to do

23 \parallel in the plants.

Over the years, in bipartisan way, going

25 | back to 07, capital projects in sewer and storm

2

1

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

water management plans have been approved that have had over almost \$700 million worth of improvements allocated through date. Not all of its been done. In fact, we're still implementing things from the 2010 and 2011 capital plan. things like gravity belt thickeners, separation tanks, bar screen scrubbers, new press rooms have all been done or are being done in accordance with those capital plans.

The original contracts with Dvirka & Bartelluci for Bay Park and Cameron for Cedar Creek was for them to actually be the manager of the plant that's operated by county workers. Those contracts were extended for their management as well as for their guidance in implementing items that were in the 09/10 and 11plan for things like ammonia removal and what kind of tertiary upgrades might be necessary in the future to make the plants compliant with future, with future requirements that don't exist So that's really where we are with a lot of those contracts for outside companies to be doing the management or to foresee what needs to be done to implement projects that are already in

the capital plan and have been for a few years.

MR. KOLODNEY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Malik Arid.

MR. ARID: Thank you very much,

Honorable Presiding Officer Peter Schmitt and

Honorable members of this house. I would like to

talk very briefly about the situation we are

facing in Valley Stream, the proposal of closing

down the Fifth Precinct.

I moved to Nassau County in 1999, and I bought my first house in Valley Stream in 2003. At that time I was paying taxes of almost \$4,000, compared to the similar house in Queens for \$1500 tax. I chose to move into Nassau County not because of anything else but safety and security of myself and my family. And today, after eight years of my buying house, my taxes have gone almost double, \$8,000. And the very interesting thing which, compared to the increase in the tax, is that in 2004 when I bought the house, the whole year there were only seven robberies in a one mile radius of my house. In 2011, last six months of 2011, there were 21 robberies only one mile radius of my house. Whereas I'm paying

almost double the tax of 2004. The safety and security is already compromised in my part of the world; I'm not sure about other parts of Nassau County. For me the most important thing in Valley Stream and other residents in Valley

Stream is the safety and security.

I do understand that the county is facing big serious challenges of financial, you know, challenges. And I totally support the Honorable County Executive, that he has to make some tough decisions, but not the tough decisions based on my safety and my family's safety. I just want to sure a small and quick message from my 11 month old daughter. I want to show you the picture. Eleven month old daughter.

She is the most precious person I have in my life, and she sends a message to all of you honorable members of this house. My dear honorable members of this house, dads, moms, granddads, and grandmoms. My dad is jobless these days. He's not having any job, but he is still paying \$8,000 a year of tax in Nassau County to make sure that my life and safety and security and property is safe. Please do not

1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12
2	play politics on this issue. We need our police
3	and we need our precinct. Why the NYPD, the
4	largest police division of the world, is
5	increasing the precincts and we are talking about
6	decreasing the precincts. We need more police
7	presence and we need more precincts in Nassau
8	County. Please do not play politics on a party
9	basis on this issue.
10	I will support any decision to cut some
11	cost or to, you know, reduce the financial
12	challenges of the county. But I will not
13	compromise, as a citizen of Nassau County, to
14	compromise the safety and security of my family.
15	Thank you very much, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.
17	Our last speaker is Gerard Ottavino from
18	Point Lookout.
19	MR. OTTAVINO: Good afternoon. Gerald
20	Ottavino, Point Lookout, and I represent, among
21	others, the Sludge Stoppers Task Force.
22	I'd like to reiterate some points that
23	other speakers have mentioned throughout this
24	period. And the first thing I'd like to request
25	is the legislature and county executive quash any

treatment or purification.

Further, it would be in the entire county's best interest to ban all fracking and related liquids, some proven to be toxic, radioactive, and others still unknown, from entering into New York State. Please reach out to Governor Cuomo to ban all forms of fracking, fracking wastewater from entering New York State.

The second issue I'd like to bring is on privatization of the sewage treatment plants that the county is considering.

There's a long list of tragedies in the United States and all over the world of failures of having tried to privatize municipality interests, in particular wastewater and sewage treatments plants. Certain examples would be Indianapolis, which was just mentioned. Another one would be Atlanta which, after a period of time, because of substandard service and escalating prices in the terms of fees or taxes, however you want to look at them, the municipalities were forced to purchase back the

Ιt

Full Legislature - 2-27-12

2 assets that they sold to these privateers.

3 had to purchase them back as a loss to the county

4 or, I should say, to the municipality. So I

5 would really encourage the county to enter into

any type of privatization agreement along these

7 | lines.

Joining the two topics is if the county goes private it opens up the door to having private interests expand their revenue horizons. What does that do? Well, their eyes get big and they look to fracking wastewater as a disposal. The county sewage treatment plants are not built or equipped to handle toxic chemicals. They are biological plants. Any toxic chemicals that enters into those plants will destroy the chemistry in those plants, and whatever comes out of those plants would be poorly suited for the waterways that they will enter into, whether it be the ocean or be the bays.

In summary, the two topics are related.

And I would encourage the county to abandon

privatization and to be proactively banning

fracking here in New York State.

Thank you for your time.

1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you. That's
3	the last speaker that I have. That concludes the
4	business of the day. I want to thank everybody
5	for coming.
6	We are in recess.
7	(TIME NOTED: 3:10 P.M.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Full Legislature - 2-27-12	104
2		

$\texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}$

I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby state:

THAT I attended at the time and place above mentioned and took stenographic record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter;

THAT the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the same and the whole thereof, according to the best of my ability and belief.

	ΤIN	W I .	INESS	WHE	KI	COF,	Т	nave	nere	unto	set	шу
hand	. th	nis			_	day	of			′	2012	•

FRANK GRAY